



## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor

File:

Office: MIAMI, FLORIDA

Date:

JUN. 7 2001

IN RE: Applicant:

Application:

Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8

U.S.C. 1182(i)

IN BEHALF OF APPLICANT: SELF-REPRESENTED

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

## **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,

**EXAMINATIONS** 

Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director

Administrative Appeals Office

**DISCUSSION:** The application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Haiti who was found to be inadmissible under § 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(6)(C)(i), for having attempted to procure admission into the United States by fraud or willful misrepresentation in April 1995. The applicant seeks a waiver of inadmissibility under § 212(i) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1182(i), in order to remain in the United States and adjust her status to that of a lawful permanent resident under the Haitian Refugee Immigrant Fairness Act of 1998, Pub. L. 105-277 (HRIFA).

The district director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish extreme hardship would be imposed on a qualifying relative and denied the application accordingly.

On appeal, the applicant states that she sought to procure admission into the United States by fraud or willful misrepresentation because she was living in fear and had to find a way of leaving Haiti. She asserts that her misrepresentation was negligent rather than intentional in that she did not attempt to deceive the immigration officer at the U.S. port of entry.

The record reflects that the applicant sought to procure admission into the United States on April 4, 1995 by presenting a photosubstituted Haitian passport containing temporary evidence of lawful permanent residence in an assumed name. The applicant was referred for further questioning and subsequently admitted under oath that the documents were arranged for her by her family for an unknown sum of money.

Section 212(a) of the Act states:

CLASSES OF ALIENS INELIGIBLE FOR VISAS OR ADMISSION.-Except as otherwise provided in this Act, aliens who are inadmissible under the following paragraphs are ineligible to receive visas and ineligible to be admitted to the United States:

\* \* \*

(6) ILLEGAL ENTRANTS AND IMMIGRATION VIOLATORS. -

\* \* \*

- (C) MISREPRESENTATION. -
- (i) IN GENERAL.-Any alien who, by fraud or willfully misrepresenting a material fact, seeks to procure (or has sought to procure or

has procured) a visa, other documentation, or admission into the United States or other benefit provided under this Act is inadmissible.

Section 902 of HRIFA provides that an applicant who is inadmissible under § 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act is ineligible for adjustment of status under HRIFA unless he or she receives a waiver of that ground of inadmissibility.

Section 212(i) of the Act states:

ADMISSION OF IMMIGRANT INADMISSIBLE FOR FRAUD OR WILLFUL MISREPRESENTATION OF MATERIAL FACT.-

- (1) The Attorney General may, in the discretion of the Attorney General, waive the application of clause (i) of subsection (a) (6) (C) in the case of an alien who is the spouse, son, or daughter of a United States citizen or of an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence, if it is established to the satisfaction of the Attorney General that the refusal of admission to the United States of such immigrant alien would result in extreme hardship to the citizen or lawfully resident spouse or parent of such an alien.
- (2) No court shall have jurisdiction to review a decision or action of the Attorney General regarding a waiver under paragraph (1).

Sections 212(a)(6)(C) and 212(i) of the Act were amended by the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (IIRIRA), Pub L. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009. There is no longer any alternative provision for waiver of a § 212(a)(6)(C)(i) violation due to passage of time. In the absence of explicit statutory direction, an applicant's eligibility is determined under the statute in effect at the time his or her application is finally considered.

21 I&N Dec. 516 (BIA 1996; A.G. 1997).

If an amendment makes the statute more restrictive after the application is filed, the eligibility is determined under the terms of the amendment. Conversely, if the amendment makes the statute more generous, the application must be considered by more generous terms.

11 I&N Dec. 419 (BIA 1965);

12 I&N Dec. 633 (BIA 1968).

Section 212(i) of the Act provides that a waiver of the bar to admission resulting from § 212(a)(6)(C) of the Act is dependent first upon a showing that the bar imposes an extreme hardship on a qualifying family member. Although extreme hardship is a requirement for § 212(i) relief, once established, it is but one

favorable discretionary factor to be considered. 21 I&N Dec. 296 (BIA 1996).

The applicant has failed to establish that she has a qualifying relative who would experience extreme hardship if she is removed from the United States. Having found the applicant statutorily ineligible for relief, no purpose would be served in discussing whether she merits a waiver as a matter of discretion.

It should also be noted that the record contains evidence that the applicant was ordered excluded and deported from the United States by an immigration judge on August 28, 1996.

Regarding aliens who have been removed from the United States, Section 212(a)(9) of the Act states:

- (A) CERTAIN ALIENS PREVIOUSLY REMOVED. -
  - (i) ARRIVING ALIENS.-Any alien who has been ordered removed under § 235(b)(1) [1225] or at the end of proceedings under § 240 [1229a] initiated upon the alien's arrival in the United States and who again seeks admission within 5 years of the date of such removal (or within 20 years in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible...
  - (ii) OTHER ALIENS.-Any alien not described in clause (i) who-
    - (I) has been ordered removed under § 240 of the Act or any other provision of law, or
    - (II) departed the United States while an order of removal was outstanding,

and who seeks admission within 10 years of the date of such alien's departure or removal (or within 20 years of such date in the case of a second or subsequent removal or at any time in the case of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony) is inadmissible.

(iii) EXCEPTION.-Clauses (i) and (ii) shall not apply to an alien seeking admission within a period if, prior to the date of the alien's reembarkation at a place outside the United States or attempt to be admitted from foreign

continuous territory, the Attorney General has consented to the alien's reapplying admission.

The present record does not contain evidence that the applicant has remained outside the United States for five consecutive years since the date of deportation or removal as required by 8 C.F.R. 212.2(a), or that she was granted advance approval of permission to reapply for admission to the United States. The applicant is therefore also inadmissible to the United States under § 212(A)(9) of the Act.

In proceedings for application for waiver of grounds inadmissibility under § 212(i) of the Act, the burden of proving eligibility remains entirely with the applicant.
7 I&N Dec. 582 (BIA 1957). Here, the applicant has not met that

burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

The appeal is dismissed. ORDER: